

**SUPPLEMENT 3  
TO THE CALL FOR PAPERS  
38TH OTDK  
BIOLOGY SECTION**

**Criteria for the evaluation of the TDK paper**

Evaluation criteria	Maximum score
<b><i>Evaluation of individual chapters of the TDK paper</i></b>	
<p><b>1. Evaluation of the introduction</b>  <u>Criteria:</u> Does the theoretical introduction provide sufficient theoretical background for the reader to understand the scientific work done and see its significance? In the introduction, how well did the applicant put their work into a broader context to justify the objectives set? Are the citations relevant in their context?</p>	<b>15</b>
<p><b>2. Evaluation of the presentation of materials and the methodology</b>  <u>Criterion:</u> is the description precise enough to allow the given method to be reproduced?</p>	<b>5</b>
<p><b>3. Evaluation of the presentation of results</b>  <u>Criteria:</u> How accurate and easy to follow is the description of the results? Evaluate the quality and standard of the inserted elements (figures, tables, etc.). Do the inserted elements effectively support the presentation and understanding of the results?</p>	<b>15</b>
<p><b>4. Evaluation of the discussion</b>  <u>Criteria:</u> Is the interpretation of the results adequate? Was their relevance demonstrated in the context of current knowledge? Was the discourse been done in sufficient depth? Are the citations relevant in their context?</p>	<b>15</b>
<b><i>Evaluation of the TDK paper as a whole</i></b>	
<p><b>General evaluation of the research carried out</b>  <b>5. Criterion:</b> Evaluate the quantity<sup>1</sup> and quality of the work carried out and the results achieved. Has the objective been achieved?</p>	<b>25</b>
<p><b>6. Criterion:</b> The professional significance of the research carried out by the author(s).</p>	<b>15</b>
<p><b>7. General formal assessment of the TDK paper</b>  <u>Criterion:</u> evaluate the overall structure and formatting of the paper, the logic of its organization, the appropriate use of style and academic language, and the formal correctness of citations and bibliography.</p>	<b>10</b>

**Each criterion is scored on a scale of 1 to 5, and then multiplied by the multiplication factors set out on the next page before summing up the sub-scores.**

<sup>1</sup> In the case of a two-author proposal, we recommend that the amount of work per author is taken into account.

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Scale</b>	<b>Multiplier factor</b>	<b>Possible values</b>
Introduction (1.)	1-5	3	3 / 6 / 9 / 12 / 15
Material and methodology (2.)	1-5	1	1 / 2 / 3 / 4 / 5
Results (3.)	1-5	3	3 / 6 / 9 / 12 / 15
Discussion (4.)	1-5	3	3 / 6 / 9 / 12 / 15
Quantity (5.)	1-5	5	5 / 10 / 15 / 20 / 25
Significance (6.)	1-5	3	3 / 6 / 9 / 12 / 15
Form (7.)	1-5	2	2 / 4 / 6 / 8 / 10