

**SUPPLEMENT 2 TO THE CALL FOR PAPERS
38TH OTDK
LAW AND POLITICAL SCIENCE SECTION**

EVALUATION SHEET

for the TDK paper by (author)

I. Evaluation of the TDK paper

Criteria	Highest possible score	Score
<p style="text-align: center;">Topic selection</p> <p>(the author examines a topic beyond the university curriculum or provides an in-depth, comprehensive, and scholarly investigation of a topic included in the university curriculum; the novelty of the topic or, in the case of current law, its relevance)</p>	5	
<p style="text-align: center;">Literature</p> <p>(the quantity and quality of sources and literature processed, the choice and application of the method of analysis)</p>	15	
<p style="text-align: center;">Elaboration of the topic</p> <p>(the quality of the elaboration of the topic, the justification and clarity of the delimitation of the topic, the effective pursuit of the chosen objective)</p>	15	
<p style="text-align: center;">Originality of the TDK paper</p> <p>(original, creative findings, clear distinction between the findings and research results of the author of the TDK paper and those of other authors, systematic classification, reasoned – de lege ferenda – proposals)</p>	15	
<p style="text-align: center;">Formal requirements</p> <p>(the structure of the TDK paper, its internal proportions, its logic and clarity; the style of the paper, a correct and complete referencing system)</p>	10	
Total	60	

Budapest, month day, 2027

Reviewer

EVALUATION SHEET

for the TDK paper by (author)

II. Evaluation of the oral presentation of the TDK paper

Criteria	Highest possible score	Score
Designation of the subject of the presentation (the effectiveness of defining the chosen TDK paper/section for presentation, the coherence of the presentation, the continuity of the thought process throughout, and the clarity of the “message”)	5	
Presentation of research findings (highlighting original, creative insights, organization, and emphasizing well-reasoned – de lege ferenda – proposals)	10	
Logic and style of the presentation (structure, balance, logic, and clarity of the presentation; style, balance of functionality and illustration, coherence, audience engagement, presenter’s demeanor, choice and use of presentation tools, adherence to presentation standards; harmony between spoken presentation and/or read text, coordination in the use of various tools)	10	
Debate skills, reflections (maximum points if the responses to the reviewer’s comments, the opponent’s opinion, and orally asked questions are convincing, concise, and accurate)	10	
Time management (maximum points if the author utilized, but did not exceed, the allotted presentation time (+/- 1 minute) and provided brief, concise answers to the questions raised in the opponent’s opinion, the review, and those asked orally)	5	
Total	40	

Budapest,

month day, 2027

Panel Member